

International Science Group
ISG-KONF.COM

TOPICAL ASPECTS OF MODERN SCIENCE AND PRACTICE

SCIENTIFIC AND PRACTICAL CONFERENCE

21-24 September

Frankfurt am Main, Germany

DOI 10.46299/ISG.2020.II.I

ISBN 978-1-64945-866-7

TOPICAL ASPECTS OF MODERN SCIENCE AND PRACTICE

Abstracts of I International Scientific and Practical Conference

Frankfurt am Main, Germany
September 21-24, 2020

TOPICAL ASPECTS OF MODERN SCIENCE AND PRACTICE

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

UDC 01.1

The Ist International scientific and practical conference «TOPICAL ASPECTS OF MODERN SCIENCE AND PRACTICE » (September 21-24, 2020). Frankfurt am Main, Germany 2020. 402 p.

ISBN - 978-1-64945-866-7

DOI - 10.46299/ISG.2020.II.I

EDITORIAL BOARD

Professor of the Department of Criminal Law and Criminology Odessa State University of Internal Affairs Candidate of Law, Associate Professor

Pluzhnik Elena

Scientific and Research Institute of Providing Legal Framework for the Innovative Development National Academy of Law Sciences of Ukraine, Kharkiv, Ukraine, Scientific secretary of Institute

Liubchych Anna

Department of Accounting and Auditing Kharkiv National Technical University of Agriculture named after Petr Vasilenko, Ukraine

Liudmyla Polyvana

Candidate of Economic Sciences, Associate Professor of Mathematical Disciplines , Informatics and Modeling. *Podolsk State Agrarian Technical University*

Mushenyk Iryna

Dnipropetrovsk State University of Internal Affairs Dnipro, Ukraine

Oleksandra Kovalevska

Доцент кафедри криміналістики та психології Одеського державного університету внутрішніх справ.

Prudka Liudmyla

Doctor of Medical Sciences, specialty 14.02.03 – social medicine.

Slabkyi Hennadii

34.	Александренко О.В., Женунтій В.І. ПРОБЛЕМНІ ПИТАННЯ ЗАХИСТУ ДІТЕЙ ВІД СІМЕЙНОГО НАСИЛЛЯ КРИМІНАЛЬНО-ПРАВОВИМИ ЗАХОДАМИ	152
35.	Ясниський Д.Г., Ткаченко О.В., АКРЕДИТАЦІЯ ЕКСПЕРТНО-КРИМІНАЛІСТИЧНИХ УСТАНОВ СИСТЕМИ МВС УКРАЇНИ ЗА ДСТУ ISO/IEC 17025	157
Management, Marketing		
36.	Бойко Т.Ф., Рой В.П., Марчишинець О.В. КОРПОРАТИВНА КУЛЬТУРА В СИСТЕМІ УПРАВЛІННЯ ОРГАНІЗАЦІЮ	160
37.	Королюк А.О., Коршець О.А., Королюк Н.О. МЕТОД ФОРМАЛІЗАЦІЇ ЗНАНЬ ЩОДО ФОРМУВАННЯ РІШЕННЯ ПРИ ВИБОРІ РАЦІОНАЛЬНОГО СПОСОБУ ВИКОРИСТАННЯ АКТИВНИХ ЗАСОБІВ ДЛЯ ДОСЯГНЕННЯ МЕТИ ОПЕРАЦІЇ	164
38.	Метіль Т.К. ПОНЯТТЯ ТА ЗНАЧЕННЯ ІНФОРМАЦІЙНО-КОМУНІКАЦІЙНОГО МЕНЕДЖМЕНТУ ОРГАНІЗАЦІЇ У СУЧASНИХ УМОВАХ	166
Medical Sciences		
39.	Arystova L. ПРОВІДНІ УКРАЇНСЬКІ ПЕДАГОГИ-МУЗИКАНТИ ХХ-ХХІ СТОЛІТТЯ ТА ЇХНІЙ ВНЕСОК У СУЧАСНУ МЕТОДИКУ МУЗИЧНОГО ВИХОВАННЯ	172
40.	Bilchenko A. THE EFFECT OF ANTIHYPERGLYCAEMIC DRUGS AT GDF-15, P-SELECTIN AND GALECTIN-3 LEVELS IN PATIENTS WITH ARTERIAL HYPERTENSION AND TYPE 2 DIABETES	178
41.	Bukina I., Polishchuk N. QUANTITATIVE CHANGES IN THE INTESTINAL MICROBIOME IN RATS	182
42.	Kulishov S. GRAPHIC MODELING OF SINUS NODE DYSFUNCTION SYNDROME DIAGNOSIS	185
43.	Nevoit G., Potiazhenko M. ELECTRO-PHOTONIC EMISSION ANALYSIS DURING AN OBJECTIVE STRUCTURED CLINICAL EXAMINATION AS A DIAGNOSTIC TOOL: ASSESSMENT OF THE EFFECTIVENESS OF USE	191

QUANTITATIVE CHANGES IN THE INTESTINAL MICROBIOME IN RATS

Bukina Iuliia,

Assistant of the Department of Microbiology, Virology and Immunology
Zaporizhzhia State Medical University

Polishchuk Nataliia

Ph.D., Associate Professor
of the Department of Microbiology, Virology and Immunology
Zaporizhzhia State Medical University

The intestinal microbiome significantly affects the functioning of the body: it participates in metabolic processes, inhibition of pro-inflammatory reactions, in the formation of innate and adaptive immune response in the intestinal mucosa [1-4]. The most important function of the intestinal microbiome is to protect the body from pathogenic microorganisms - pathogens of bacterial intestinal infections [5, 6]. It is known that dysbiotic changes in the intestine lead to increased susceptibility to pathogenic bacteria, such as salmonella [7, 8], which are the etiological factor of gastroenteritis [9]. One of the most common causes of microbiota changes is the use of antibiotics [10-12]. Therefore, of particular interest are the processes of interaction of antibiotics, *Salmonella enteritidis* and *Salmonella typhimurium* with representatives of the normal intestinal microflora [13-15]. **The aim** to analyze changes in the quantitative and species composition of the small intestine microbiota in rats with salmonella-induced bowel inflammation on the background of vancomycin and *B. fragilis* administration. **Methods.** All rats, except group I (control, intact), received vancomycin and/or suspension of microorganisms. In order to rapidly internalize bacteria into the intestinal mucosa, the suspension with salmonella was administered orally using a probe. Vancomycin was administered to animals at a rate of 50 mg per kg of body weight, suspensions of microorganisms - in an amount of 15 ml with a concentration of 3×10^8 CFU/g. As a material for bacteriological studies of the intestinal microflora used washes from the ileum of rats. The quantitative and qualitative composition of the wall microbiota in rats by bacteriological method, the statistical analysis of data using the program StatSoft Statistica v12 were conducted. **Results.** The introduction of vancomycin and *S. enteritidis*, *S. typhimurium* led to changes in the qualitative and quantitative composition of the intestinal microbiome. The introduction of *S. enteritidis* and *S. typhimurium*, on the background of pre-treatment with vancomycin, caused more pronounced changes: increase of the content of *E. coli* 65 and 105 times, *Enterobacter spp.*, *Klebsiella spp.*, *P. aeruginosa* ($p \leq 0.05$), and also a sharp decrease in *Bacteroides spp.* 9 and 10 times, respectively, *Proteus spp.* 17 times, *Peptostreptococcus anaerobius* 20 and 9 times, *Shigella spp.* at 538 and 860 times and *Lactobacillus* at 17 times. Correction of the microflora of rats of *B. fragilis* leads to a sharp decrease of the number of *Salmonella spp.*, *P. aeruginosa*, *Enterobacter spp.*, *Klebsiella spp.*, *Shigella spp.* ($p \leq 0.05$), *E. coli* 538 times, *Proteus spp.* 322

times, *Acinetobacter spp.* at 6 and 57 times, *Cryptococcus spp.* 7-fold, and increase in *Bacteroides spp.*, *E. faecalis*, *E. faecium* 10 and 19-fold, *Peptostreptococcus anaerobius* 7 and 12-fold, *Lactobacillus spp.* 27 and 40 times, respectively. **Conclusions.** When *B. fragilis* was administered to experimental animals treated with *S. enteritidis* or *S. typhimurium* on the background of pre-treatment with vancomycin, a change in the quantitative composition of the microbiota in the parietal contents of the small intestine was observed, namely: a decrease in *Salmonella spp.*, *E. coli*, *P. aeruginosa*, *Proteus spp.*, *Enterobacter spp.*, *Klebsiella spp.*, As well as an increase in *Bacteroides spp.*, *E. faecalis*, *E. faecium*, *Lactobacillus spp.* and *Peptostreptococcus anaerobius*. This prove that the introduction of *B. fragilis* can be used in the treatment of inflammatory bowel diseases or diseases with impaired barrier function of the intestine.

References:

1. Macpherson NL, Harris Macpherson AJ. Interactions between commensal intestinal bacteria and the immune system // Nature Reviews Immunology. 2004. Vol. 4. P. 478–485.
2. Deplancke B, Gaskins Deplancke HR. Microbial modulation of innate defense: goblet cells and the intestinal mucus layer // The American Journal of Clinical Nutrition. 2001. Vol. 73. P. 1131–1141.
3. Kau AL, Ahern PP, Griffin NW, Goodman AL, Gordon JI. Human nutrition, the gut microbiome and the immune system // Nature. 2011. Vol. 474. P. 327–336.
4. Stecher B, Hardt WD. The role of microbiota in infectious disease // Trends Microbiology. 2008. Vol. 16. P. 107–114.
5. Vollaard EJ, Clasener HA. Colonization resistance // Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy. 1994. Vol. 38. P. 409–414.
6. Stecher B, Hardt WD. Mechanisms controlling pathogen colonization of the gut // Current Opinion in Microbiology. 2011. Vol. 14. P. 82–91.
7. Monack DM, Bouley DM, Falkow SJ. *Salmonella typhimurium* persists with in macrophages in the mesenteric lymph nodes of chronically infected Nrampl^{+/+} mice and can be reactivated by IFNgamma neutralization // Experimental Medicine. 2004. Vol. 199. P. 231–241.
8. Jernberg C, Löfmark S, Edlund C, Jansson JK. Long-term impacts of antibiotic exposure on the human intestinal microbiota // Microbiology. 2010. Vol. 156. P. 3216–3223.
9. Ubeda C, Pamer EG. Antibiotics, microbiota and immune defense // Trends Immunology. 2012. Vol. 33. P. 459–466.
10. Pérez-Cobas AE, Artacho A, Knecht H, Ferrús ML, Friedrichs A, Ott SJ. Differential effects of antibiotic therapy on the structure and function of human gut microbiota // PLoS One. 2013. Vol. 8. P. 201-208.
11. Cho I, Yamanishi S, Cox L, Methé BA, Zavadil J, Li K. Antibiotics in early life alter the murine colonic microbiome and adiposity // Nature. 2012. Vol. 488. P. 621–626.

TOPICAL ASPECTS OF MODERN SCIENCE AND PRACTICE

12. Zhang Y, Limaye PB, Renaud HJ, Klaassen CD. Effect of various antibiotics on modulation of intestinal microbiota and bile acid profile in mice // Toxicology and Applied Pharmacology. 2014. Vol. 277. P. 138–145.
13. Fujimura KE, Slusher NA, Cabana MD, Lynch SV. Role of the gut microbiota in defining human health // Expert Review of Anti - infective Therapy. 2010. Vol. 8. P. 435–454.
14. Wlodarska M, Willing B, Keeney KM, Menendez A, Bergstrom KS, Gill N. Antibiotic treatment alters the colonic mucus layer and predisposes the host to exacerbated *Citrobacter rodentium*-induced colitis // PubMed. 2011. Vol. 79. P. 1536–1545.
15. Cani PD, Possemiers S, Van de Wiele T, Guiot Y, Everard A, Rottier O. Changes in gut microbiota control inflammation in obese mice through a mechanism involving GLP-2-driven improvement of gut permeability // PubMed. 2009. Vol. 58. P. 1091–1093.